

Sex is much more talked about than practised; by contrast, power is talked about relatively little, while so many spend an enormous amount of time pursuing it, in their own immediate sphere if not in society at large. Yet, at least in principle, reserve should be natural in the case of sex, while it should be equally natural for every citizen to be interested in forming an idea of the distribution of power in society: of the factors that determine it and the changes it undergoes over time.

What we are interested in here is power as a social issue. The topic is of great interest in itself, but also because it cuts across a wide range of research. Power is a 'rainbow' concept: you can never tell where it begins and where it ends, and it has many different aspects that intersect, with boundaries that gradually blur as they pass from one to the other. There is power linked to physical strength and individual charisma or to a role in public administration or justice, or in an organization (e.g. a company), economic power and political power, the power of the state or linked to the social position of the individual, and so on. Precisely for this reason, the subject is difficult



POWER AND INEQUALITY

as Bertrand Russell observed (1938, p. 108), 'Economics as a separate science is unrealistic, and misleading if taken as a guide in practice. It is one element – a very important element, it is true – in a wider study, the science of power.'

On the other hand, anyone wishing to change the society we live in for the better, whatever that means – and we have all, or almost all, felt the ethical call of this objective – must confront the problem of power: what kind of power is needed and how to acquire it, in order to be able to play a truly active role; how to assess the situation facing us, in order to understand what direction we should be working in and what constraints will limit our action. For a reformer – a term we will try to clarify later – an analysis of power is, in principle, a prerequisite for action. In practice, it is often the good politician's 'nose', or air, that guides action, rather than a re ective analysis of power that proves too difficult to make; but a little re ection and reasoning never hurts.

For these reasons, the aim of this work is not to formulate a (more or less new) abstract theory of power, but to illustrate its different aspects for a political use, with the aim of achieving reforms: a transformative use and not a conservative one, for the purpose of change and not defence of the status quo. Hence an alternation between different analytical levels: even the most abstract reasoning is in uenced by political objectives.

By structural reforms we do not mean – contrary to current usage – reforms to improve the efficiency of the economic system. We mean reforms to make the distribution of power in society less unequal, without neglecting efficiency. It is precisely the complex nature of power that calls for re ection searching enough to identify political strategies that are useful and not counterproductive for civil progress. Demagogy – demand everything, and then some more – is a practice in which the most reactionary politicians excel, and is in any case the best way to consolidate the pre-existing power structure, if not to worsen it.

With his theory of probability, Keynes (1921) taught that, while surrounded by uncertainty, it is worthwhile to gather information





POWER AND INEQUALITY

complex grid, but perhaps still too simple. This 3.7113y d ...2 enti - cation of an adequ d line of argumentation. It is generally recognized that in the end everything depends on everything else; however, we must not be overawed by the difficulty of nding a log3y I thread that will not run into criticism. A note of caution is therefore sufcient: the line of argument adopted, even if it has its own good reasons, should not be hypostatized; other lines of reasoning, other links between y d gories and elds of ap113y dion of power are also valid, but some choice – obviously open to criticism – is in any y se unavoidable.

A possible altern dive, which had been suggested to me and which deserves mention, would have involved an analysis of power from the perspecdive of theories of justice. But rather than starting from 'what should be', and then yons2 ering how to get there, I prefer to start from 'what is the y se', and then see if and how it can be improved. We should not aim to reach an ultim d , optim I goal, or even to de ne it: we should rather aim to drive towards a less unequ I distribudion of power.

Following Adam Smith, we begin with the division of labour (Chapter 3). The division of labour un erlies the unequ I distribudion of labour and soci I roles, income and wealth; its evolution un erlies economic development and chang ...2n the soci I structure. We will thus yons2 er rst the aspecds of power that have most directly to do with economics: the differenti dion of production roles and incomes.

We will go on to cons2 er, in Chapter 4, the problem of the power of control over the different production units and the rel dive importance of some of them 3.71ared to others. The form in which this 3.ntrol takes place is linked to the mechanisms of nance, which in uence the pace and sector I structure of economic development.

Finance shows the importance of networks as a means of strengthening and centr lizing w2 espread power. While the power of Henry Ford was 3.ncentr ted 2n the direct 3.ntrol of a large y r c.71any, the power of the Rockefellers, which also started from the 3.ntrol of a single oil c.71any, was already.2n the second generation



spreading through banks, insurance companies, oil companies and conglomerates of various kinds. Is there more power when you control 40 per cent of the shares in a large company, or when, with 3 per cent of the shares in a bank and a network of cross-checks, you exert a dominant in uence over large sectors of the economy?

基準 多某法等等某时 1979//

Interlocking shareholders (and the related networks of interlocking directors) are but one type of a more general species, namely networks as a structure for generating and enhancing power, active in the most diverse elds of social life. As we will try to show in Chapter 5, the different types of networks, sometimes but not always endowed with an institutional framework regulating their functioning and favouring their stability, condition political, economic and cultural life. The 'white' (fully legal) networks, based on family solidarity, religious beliefs, political convictions and economic relations, are anked by what we might consider 'grey' networks, not illegal but with dubious moral foundations, based on the exchange of favours (such as Masonic-type associations) and by 'black', illegal networks, such as Ma a-type associations. Their importance is often underestimated in theoretical analyses of power, whereas they seem to have a decisive in uence on the political and economic life, certainly in Italy and probably in other countries as well.

Chapter 6 moves on from the analysis of networks of relations to analysis of state-centred political power. In this regard, it is perhaps worth pointing out here another signicant limitation of this work. The central aim is to draw attention to the multidimensional nature of power and its political implications: however, this means that a systematic treatment of political power would go far beyond my scope here.

The nation-state has its own historical path: it was born out of the decline of feudalism and gradually developed its role, which then came to be eroded in the phase of globalization. There are various conceptions of the nature of the state: the Weberian one of a monopoly of legal force, the Marxian one of an instrument of class power, the ordoliberal one of the legal construction of the market and, preceding





INTRODUCTION

critical points for overcoming these multiple crises and reconstructing a better world – which requires a strategy of structural reforms.

•

Brief as it is, this analysis of the dimensions of power nevertheless enables us to tackle the next step: possible intervention strategies.

Both the use and the pursuit of power pose major ethical problems, which are outlined in Chapter 9. In itself, power is neither beautiful nor ugly, neither good nor bad: it is a fact which we must come to terms with, neither demonizing nor exalting it. On an ethical level, the problems do not concern its existence, but the judgement to be made regarding its con guration in a given historical moment and in a given society, and the attitude to adopt towards the situation we are faced with, taking into account the objectives of freedom, justice and the common good (which includes prominently the issues of peace and the defence of the natural environment).

If what matters is not the point of arrival – because the nal destination cannot be de ned unequivocally, nor fully achieved – it is better to focus on the road to follow: the progressive extension of rights and a progressively fairer distribution of powers in their multiple ramic cations. These issues are discussed in Chapter 10.

Thus we come, in Chapter 11, to the problem of de ning concrete strategies for today's scenario: this, after all, is the objective that lies behind this book. The chapter is focused on the case of Italy: a case that I know by direct experience; however, I believe that – mutatis mutandis – its illustration provides useful pointers to what feasible reforms might look like in other countries as well.

Human history as a whole is characterized by undeniable progress, but temporary and/or local setbacks are also possible. Thus, while the rst decades after the Second World War saw major steps ahead, since the 1970s signi cant progress in the eld of civil rights (in particular gender inequality) has been accompanied by elements of regression. In the economic eld, following the rise of



POWER AND INEOUALITY

neo-liberalism and the associated nancial globalization, the concentration of power and imbalances in the distribution of income and wealth have increased. (The role of neo-liberalism and its theoretical shortcomings will be discussed in Sections 11.3 and 11.4.) In the political sphere, the burgeoning of demagogy and populism is worryingly reminiscent of the manoeuvrability of the masses that favoured the establishment of authoritarian/totalitarian regimes such as fascism and Nazism. The war in Ukraine now adds further dramatic elements to an already worrying situation.

It is difficult, but not impossible, to reverse these trends, and to make progress on the road to individual freedoms and social justice. The 2008 nancial crisis and the Covid-19 pandemic with their heavy consequences make it clear that we need to abandon the path advocated by neo-liberalism and followed in recent decades, given the resounding failures of the myth of the invisible hand of the market. The formation of a new consensus around progressive policies requires complementary actions in the cultural, political and economic elds, with a progressive alliance along the never easy path



PART I The Colours of Power



Interpretations and Fields of **Application**

The Multiple Faces of Power

Over time, different de nitions of power have been proposed, referring to different areas of social life. They all have something in common, in that they are specilications of the same phenomenon, but they may differ in bringing out particular aspects. The following brief overview, inevitably super cial (especially with regard to the best-known authors), serves essentially to recall the variety of positions on the subject, as the background to our own interpretation.

In the classical age of the Greek polis, between the fth and fourth centuries BC, following Vegetti (2017, p. 17) we can identify ve main 'types of legitimation of power': '1. plethos, the majority principle; 2. nomos, the principle of legality; 3. kratos, the principle of force; 4. arethè, the principle of excellence; 5. episteme, the principle of competence.' Authors like Plato or Aristotle consider the problem of power as part of their analysis of forms of government. In the same vein, Cicero distinguishes between auctoritas, the source of legitimacy to govern, and potestas, the power to intervene directly in the subjective sphere of others. Thucydides is the rst of a long stream of authors who utilizes the standpoint of a realist balance of power in their analyses of international relations.

In the Christian world, the supreme power is divine authority. In the rst centuries, political power was recognized as a reality to submit to ('Give to Caesar what is Caesar's'); later, with the Church's conquest of temporal power, the thesis of the religious origin and legitimation of political power, and therefore of the supremacy of religion over civil life, was upheld for centuries. In partly different